

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2884 OF 1990

For Approval and Signature

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.K. KESHOTE

-
1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?
 3. Whether their lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950, or any order made thereunder ?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

RANJANBEN AMRITLAL RAWAL
VERSUS
CHAIRMAN, GUJ.STATE COOP HOUSING FINANCE SOC.LTD.& ANR.

Appearance:

None present for the Petitioner
MR KG SHETH for Respondent No.1
None present for Respondent No.2

Coram: S.K. Keshote,J
Date of decision:19/6/97

C.A.V. JUDGMENT

The matter was called out for hearing in the first round, then in the second round and lastly in the third round, but none put appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Heard learned counsel for respondent No.1 and perused the note filed by respondent No.1. The matter has been placed on the Board on the note filed by respondent No.1.

2. The learned counsel for respondent No.1 contended that the parties have worked out a settlement between them to the satisfaction of the petitioner and as such, nothing survives in this Special Civil Application. The learned counsel for respondent No.1 filed on record of this case, a zerox copy of the order passed by Division Bench of this Court in Misc. Civil Application (Contempt Petition) No.211 of 1996 between the same parties. That Misc. Civil Application has been decided on 28th November 1996. The Division Bench has noticed the fact that the parties have worked out a settlement between them to the satisfaction of petitioner and as such that Contempt Petition has been dismissed as not survived. Nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner to controvert the fact that the matter has been settled between the parties.

3. Taking into consideration the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.1 as well as the order of the Division Bench of this Court in Misc. Civil Application (Contempt Petition) No.211 of 1996, dated 28th November 1996, this Special Civil Application does not survive as it has become infructuous. Order accordingly. The Special Civil Application is dismissed as having become infructuous. Rule discharged. Interim relief, if any, granted by this Court stands vacated. No order as to costs.

.....

(s)